

The MACC Numbers for 2006

Mike Sayers
April 23, 2006

Summary

This season our numbers were up. After steady declines from 1992 to 2003, the numbers held steady for 2004 and 2005. This year we're up about 10%, with turnout similar to 2002. The distribution of classes on five courses was almost perfect and should be used again for 2007. Turnout for the Cantor Cup improved, even though the snow conditions this year were marginal.

The only real "issue" that merits discussion is that there are hardly any A women (2 on average), so maintaining separate classes for Vet-A women (5 on average) and A women makes the program less appealing for potential A women racers.

Table 6 on page 4 shows the team turnout and corresponding votes for the 2007 season.

Data for 2006

Table 1 shows our turnout for each year since 1992 (except 1995—no data). All numbers shown in this report are based on attendance, not registration. Searchmont numbers are shown in italics starting in 1996. The averages in the column "Byne" do not include Searchmont or the Cantor Cup. The averages in the column "Ave" do not include the Cantor Cup for years after 1998.

Table 1. Turnout per race.

Year	Ave	Byne	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1992	286	312	360	353	316	320	203	204	299	297	246	286	267		
1993	256	284	333	339	275	278	208	195	209	205	247	236	237	288	272
1994	262	287	304	293	298	292	282	269	191	214	212	232	286	268	
1996	209	236	280	275	226	221	206	207	<i>129</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>142</i>	200	240	234	
1997	212	250	254		253	261	255	259	<i>129</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>122</i>	204	244	229	
1998	191	212	230	221	221	220	198	208	<i>138</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>136</i>	178	213	190	
1999	203	226	245	230	225	210	214	226	<i>153</i>	<i>158</i>	<i>152</i>	194	229	?	
2000	187	222*	238	221	227	226	217	203	<i>143</i>	<i>134</i>	<i>133</i>	143	179	108	
2001	185	203	231	218	220	220	187	198	<i>140</i>	<i>147</i>	<i>128</i>	158	193	144	
2002	176	210*	225	210	227	211	<i>117</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>126</i>	196	188	156	150	?	
2003	165	182	201	200	194	195	<i>114</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>123</i>	176	163	149	181	123	
2004	162	184	192	183	170	179	<i>104</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>112</i>	193	190		182	100	
2005	162	182	201	197	175	174	189	183	<i>100</i>	<i>116</i>	<i>114</i>	157	179	92	
2006	175	197	217	205	201	199	<i>117</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>116</i>	206	204	163	183	103	

*Average of first 6 2000 / 2002 races (normal weather).

Table 2 shows how the numbers in each class have changed since 1992. Overall, we have gained elite racers, kept super-vet racers, but lost intermediate young and vet racers. Table 3 shows our attendance this year by race (the first 11) and by class.

Table 2. Class attendance since 1992.

Class	1992	1993	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
A Men	17	11	10	7	9	11	13	10	6		8	8	6	8
A Women	9*	8*	11*	9*	11*	8*	11*	13*	14*	6	3	3	4	2
B Men	24	19	16	6	9	7	11	9	9	9	5	4	6	6
B Women	16*	16*	14*	14*	13*	11	10	8	8	5	5	5	3	3
C Men	34*	29*	29*	19*	20*	23*	19*	13*	9	9	7	8	7	8
C Women	22*	17*	18*	13*	14*	8	11	12	7	7	6	4	4	5
D Men	27*	17*	20*	15*	16*	13	6*	9*	11*	10*	9	6	4	6
D Women	19*	21*	18*	12	8	6	10	6	8	6	5	2	4	7
Elite-1 Men	16	18	20	17	18	16	18	12	14	13	14	16	12	15
Elite-1 Women	7*	5*	6*	6*	4*	7*	5	5	4	4	4	5	6	9
Elite-2 Men	16	11	12	11	9	11	10	11	9	9	7	8	9	11
Elite-2 Women							6	4	6	4	4	6	7	10
SV-A Men											4	2	4	5
SV-B Men	6	4	6	9	13	12	12	8	10	9	8	8	12	11
SV-C Men	8	8	9	7	6		9	11	8	8	7	7	5	8
SV-D Men	9	11	13	15	13	13	10	9	10	9	10	10	10	10
Vet-A Men	11	17	14	12	12	9	8	8	9	14	10	7	9	10
Vet-A Women										8	8	9	6	5
Vet-B Men	28	29*	31*	22*	19*	12	10	10	13	14	10	6	4	7
Vet E-1 Men	14*	14*	16*	16*	19*	11	11	11	13	14	13	17	12	12
Vet E-2 Men						12	15	12	14	11	13	16	15	15

*Number includes classes that do not match this year's age groups.

Table 3. Class attendance in 2006.

Class	Ave	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
A Men	7.72	9	9	9	8	5	5	5	12	10	4	9
A Women	2.27	4	3	3	4				3	2	1	5
B Men	5.63	9	6	4	5	3	3	3	8	6	7	8
B Women	3.09	4	3	2	2	3	2	1	5	5	3	4
C Men	7.81	12	10	10	10	6	6	6	8	7	5	6
C Women	5.00	7	7	7	7	2	2	2	5	5	5	6
D Men	6.00	8	8	9	9		1	1	7	9	6	8
D Women	7.00	10	9	13	11	4	4	4	5	6	5	6
Elite-1 Men	15.45	19	17	19	18	10	10	10	22	17	15	13
Elite-1 Women	9.27	10	10	10	11	8	7	7	9	9	11	10
Elite-2 Men	11.00	14	13	10	11	6	7	7	15	14	10	14
Elite-2 Women	10.45	11	9	10	10	10	10	10	11	11	12	11
Instructional	0.27			1	1				1			
Supervet-A Men	4.63	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	7	7	4	4
Supervet-B Men	11.27	15	14	13	13	9	9	9	11	12	9	10
Supervet-C Men	8.27	11	12	8	7	6	6	6	10	9	7	9
Supervet-D Men	10.09	14	13	14	14	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Vet-A Men	10.27	11	12	12	12	8	8	7	11	12	10	10
Vet-A Women	5.45	6	6	7	6	5	5	4	6	6	4	5
Vet-B Men	6.63	6	6	8	9	4	4	4	8	9	7	8
Vet Elite-1 Men	12.00	14	15	9	10	7	9	9	16	17	14	12
Vet Elite-2 Men	15.36	18	18	18	16	10	11	10	18	17	16	17

Table 4 shows the attendance for the courses. Our distribution of classes on courses seems to be working well.

Table 4. Course attendance in 2005.

Course	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	43	42	38	39	69	54	89	50	43	40	35	62
2	43	40	38	37	49	40	27	44	42	38	42	42
3	45	42	43	44		27		48	51	33	45	
4	47	44	38	37				41	40	28	33	
5	39	37	44	42				26	28	24	28	

Table 5 shows the attendance histories of the clubs since 1992. This year, the biggest gain came from the Jim Dandies, with other gains from the Thunderbirds and Team Rust. There were losses from Schussmeisters and Skiniks. (The 2005 and 2006 numbers do not include the Cantor Cup.)

Table 5. Average attendance by team.

Club	1992	1993	1994	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Ann Arbor	16	10	10	12	17	14	13	16	12	9	8	10	10	10
GM Ski Club	43	42	44	24	20	17	14	9	6	5	6	3	5	4
Jim Dandy	11	11	15	11	9	11	14	11	12	12	7	3	5	11
Powderhounds	25	17	16	19	21	17	14	12	12	11	7	8	9	10
Renegades												6	12	11
Schussmeisters	33	30	40	35	41	40	41	33	35	32	27	23	18	13
Skiniks	24	20	18	18	17	13	12	14	14	15	12	16	14	11
Skiwis	8	9	11	6	10	9	15	26	23	21	17	14	13	15
Snow Drifters	7	5	7	10	7	8	8	13	16	19	19	18	12	10
Team Rust	27	26	25	15	15	19	17	15	13	12	11	10	11	14
Thunderbirds	31	31	35	33	35	29	31	25	25	26	28	19	27	31
Toledo Ski Club	7	2					11	13	13	13	15	13	20	22

Table 6 shows the club votes for 2007, based on the turnout for the first 11 races from 2006. It takes 55 racer starts to get a vote, 132 to get two votes, and 330 to get three votes. The Jim Dandies got their vote back, GM lost theirs, the Skiniks lost a vote, Team Rust gained a vote, and the T-Birds are the first team in several years to have 3 votes. The total number of votes is up to 17, meaning the quorum for MACC in 2006 is still 9 voting members. Note that there are enough racers with no team to account for 72 starts, more than the 55 needed for a team vote.

Table 6. Votes for 2007.

Ski Club	Vote s	Total	Ave	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Ann Arbor Ski Club	1	113	10.27	17	16	14	16	7	7	7	8	8	6	7
GM Ski Club	0	44	4.00	6	6	5	5	1	1	1	6	6	3	4
Jim Dandy Ski Club	1	124	11.27	10	9	15	14	6	6	5	17	17	13	12
Powderhounds	1	106	9.63	12	13	8	10	5	7	6	16	13	7	9
Renegades	1	121	11.00	13	11	9	10	8	10	10	14	12	14	10
Schussmeisters	2	147	13.36	16	17	13	14	12	12	11	14	16	9	13
Skiniks	1	126	11.45	16	15	13	14	7	8	8	12	12	10	11
Skiwis	2	166	15.09	16	16	15	14	14	13	13	17	17	15	16
Snow Drifters	1	106	9.63	14	12	13	11	5	3	4	12	12	10	10
Team Rust	2	155	14.09	16	17	20	18	5	5	5	19	19	16	15
Thunderbirds	3	338	30.72	41	39	36	33	23	22	22	29	30	30	33
Toledo	2	241	21.90	25	23	26	24	21	21	20	21	20	20	20
Unassigned		72	6.54	10	9	9	9	1	3	2	10	6	4	9